



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Texas Pain Relief Group

Respondent Name

Texas Mutual Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-16-0946-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

December 8, 2015

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Texas Mutual is denying these claims due to we only met two of the requirements for testing and they want us to meet all three of the requirements. However ODG Guidelines only require that you meet two of the three requirements."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,000.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "One year from the dispute date 2/5/14 is 12/5/15. The TDI/DWC date stamp lists the received date as 12/8/15 on the requestor's DWC-60 packet, a date greater than one year from 12/5/14. The requestor has waived its right to DWC MDR. Texas Mutual has elected to pay dispute date 1/2/15."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 5, 2014	G0431	\$2,000.00	\$18.91
January 2, 2015	G0431		

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the requirements for filing a medical fee dispute.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.210 sets out the documents required to be filed with medical bills during the medical billing process.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code Part 1, Chapter 19, Subchapter U sets out the requirements for utilization review of health care provided under Texas workers' compensation insurance coverage.

4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement for clinical laboratory services.
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 16 – Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication
 - 225 – The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed
 - 758 – ODG documentation requirements for urine drug testing have not been met
 - 876 – Required documentation missing or illegible

Issues

1. Was the request for Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Timely?
2. Were the services in dispute recommended under the division's treatment guidelines?
3. Did the requestor meet division documentation requirements?
4. Did the carrier appropriately request additional documentation?
5. Did the carrier appropriately raise reasonableness and medical necessity?
6. Were Medicare policies met?
6. Is reimbursement due?

Findings

1. Regarding date of service December 5, 2014. The carrier states in their position statement, "The requestor has waived its right to DWC MDR. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c) states,

Requests for MFDR shall be filed in the form and manner prescribed by the division. Requestors shall file two legible copies of the request with the division.

(1) Timeliness. A requestor shall timely file the request with the division's MFDR Section or waive the right to MFDR. The division shall deem a request to be filed on the date the MFDR Section receives the request. A decision by the MFDR Section that a request was not timely filed is not a dismissal and may be appealed pursuant to subsection (g) of this section.

(A) A request for MFDR that does not involve issues identified in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph shall be filed no later than one year after the date(s) of service in dispute.

(B) A request may be filed later than one year after the date(s) of service if:

(i) a related compensability, extent of injury, or liability dispute under Labor Code Chapter 410 has been filed, the medical fee dispute shall be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor receives the final decision, inclusive of all appeals, on compensability, extent of injury, or liability;

(ii) a medical dispute regarding medical necessity has been filed, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor received the final decision on medical necessity, inclusive of all appeals, related to the health care in dispute and for which the insurance carrier previously denied payment based on medical necessity; or

(iii) the dispute relates to a refund notice issued pursuant to a division audit or review, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date of the receipt of a refund notice.

Review of the request for date of service December 5, 2014 finds the request for MFDR was received December 8, 2015. No exception per Rule 133.307 (c)(1)(B) was found. Therefore, the carrier's position statement is supported. This date of service is not eligible for medical fee dispute.

2. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §137.100 (a) states, in pertinent part, that "Health care providers shall provide treatment in accordance with the current edition of the *Official Disability Guidelines - Treatment in Workers' Comp...*" Review of the January 2014 ODG pain chapter under the "Drug testing" and "procedure description" finds that drug testing is "Recommended as an option..." Furthermore, ODG refers to procedure description "Urine Drug Testing (UDT)" where UDTs are described as "Recommended as a tool to monitor adherence to use of controlled substance treatment, to identify misuse (both before and during treatment), and as an adjunct to self-report of drug use." The division concludes that the services were provided in accordance with the division's treatment guidelines; that the services are presumed reasonable pursuant to 28 TAC §137.100(c), and Labor Code §413.017; and are also presumed to be health care reasonably required as defined by Labor Code §401.011(22-a).
3. The respondent's claim adjustment code 758 states that "ODG documentation requirements for urine drug testing have not been met." Documentation requirements for the services provided are not established by ODG, rather, documentation requirements are established by 28 TAC §133.210 which describes the documentation required to be submitted with a medical bill. 28 TAC §133.210 does not require documentation to be submitted with the medical bill for the services in dispute. The carrier's denial reason is not supported.
4. The carrier denied payment, in part, with claim adjustment code 225 citing that the documentation does not support the service billed, and that the carrier would "...re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information." Similarly, in its response to this medical fee dispute, the carrier cites the lack of clarifying information and/or documentation as a reason for denial of payment. The process for a carrier's request of documentation not otherwise required by 28 TAC 133.210 is detailed in section (d) of that section as follows:

"Any request by the insurance carrier for additional documentation to process a medical bill shall:

 - (1) be in writing;
 - (2) be specific to the bill or the bill's related episode of care;
 - (3) describe with specificity the clinical and other information to be included in the response;
 - (4) be relevant and necessary for the resolution of the bill;
 - (5) be for information that is contained in or in the process of being incorporated into the injured employee's medical or billing record maintained by the health care provider;
 - (6) indicate the specific reason for which the insurance carrier is requesting the information; and
 - (7) include a copy of the medical bill for which the insurance carrier is requesting the additional documentation."

No documentation was found to support that the carrier made an appropriate request for additional documentation during the billing process with the specificity required by rule. The division concludes that carrier failed to meet the requirements of 28 TAC 133.210(d).

5. Health care provided in accordance with the ODG is presumed reasonable as specified in (c) of Rule §137.100. Section (e) of that same rule allows for the insurance carrier to retrospectively review reasonableness and medical necessity:

An insurance carrier may retrospectively review, and if appropriate, deny payment for treatments and services not preauthorized under subsection (d) of this section when the insurance carrier asserts that health care provided within the Division treatment guidelines is not reasonably required. The assertion must be supported by documentation of evidence-based medicine that outweighs the presumption of reasonableness established by Labor Code §413.017.

28 Texas Administrative Code Part 1, Chapter 19, Subchapter U sets out the requirements for utilization review of health care provided under Texas workers' compensation insurance coverage. Applicable 28 TAC §19.2003 (b)(31) defines retrospective review as "A form of utilization review for health care services that have been provided to an injured employee." No documentation was found to support that the insurance carrier retrospectively reviewed the reasonableness and medical necessity of the service in dispute pursuant to the minimal requirements of Chapter 19, subchapter U as required. The insurance carrier failed to follow the appropriate administrative process and remedy in order to address its assertions regarding appropriateness of care and medical necessity.

6. 28 TAC §134.203(b) states that "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiative (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules." 28 TAC §134.203(a) states that "'Medicare payment policies' when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, values and weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare." The services in dispute are clinical laboratory services; therefore, Medicare policies for the clinical laboratory services must be met. The services in dispute are addressed in the CMS Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule. The requestor billed the following AMA CPT codes/descriptions as follows:

- CPT Code - G0431 Drug screen, qualitative; multiple drug classes by high complexity test method (e.g., immunoassay, enzyme assay), per patient encounter

Review of the medical bill finds that current AMA CPT codes were billed, and that there are no CCI conflicts or Medicare billing exclusions that apply to the clinical laboratory services in dispute. The requestor met 28 TAC §134.203(b).

7. The services in dispute are eligible for payment. 28 TAC §134.203(e) states:

"The MAR for pathology and laboratory services not addressed in subsection (c)(1) of this section or in other Division rules shall be determined as follows:

- (1) 125 percent of the fee listed for the code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule for the technical component of the service; and
- (2) 45 percent of the Division established MAR for the code derived in paragraph (1) of this subsection for the professional component of the service."

CMS payment policy files identify those clinical laboratory codes which contain a professional component, and those which are considered technical only. The codes in dispute are not identified by CMS as having a possible professional component, for that reason, the MAR is determined solely pursuant to 28 TAC §134.203(e)(1). The maximum allowable reimbursement(MAR) for the services in dispute is 125% of the fee listed for the codes in the 2015 Clinical Diagnostic Laboratory Fee Schedule found on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services website at <http://www.cms.gov>. The total MAR is calculated as follows:

Date of Service	Submitted Code	Submitted Charge	Units	Fee Schedule Allowable	MAR
December 5, 2014	G0431	\$1,000.00	1		Untimely to MDR
January 2, 2015	G0431	\$1,000.00	1	\$75.63	\$75.63 x 125% = \$94.54
	Total	\$2,000.00			\$94.54

The total maximum allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$94.54. The amount previously paid by the Carrier on December 22, 2015 was \$75.63. The requestor is due \$18.91.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$18.91.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$18.91 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130 due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	December , 2015
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.